

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/532,208	03/22/2000	Douglas A. Hahn	064198.0152(A00554)	8536
31625	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			BUI, BING Q	
	PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	X 78701-4039		2642	14
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	09/532,208	HAHN ET AL.				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII INC DATE of this communication	Bing Q Bui	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	nth the correspondence address	;			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 26 April 2004.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	 allowance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the meri	its is			
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-38</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,20-23,29,31-33,35 and 36</u> is	/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>19,24-28,30,34,37 and 38</u> is/are	e objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · ·	` '	21(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	0 1 ,	5 · · · · (-) (-) - · (-).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Э			
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No.	(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcup \text{Notice of } \\ 6) \(\bigcup \text{Other: } \\ \bigcup \text{Other: } \\ \end{array}	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 17-38 are pending in the application for examination.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 18-38 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Costello et al (US Pat No. 4,510,351) and Charalambous et al (US Pat No. 5,530,744). Rejections based on the newly cited references follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 09/532,208

Art Unit: 2642

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 18, 20-23, 29, 31-33 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costello et al (US Pat No. 4,510,351) in view of Charalambous et al (US Pat No. 5,530,744), herein after referred as Costello and Charalambous.

Regarding claim 18, Costello teaches a method for providing status information (see Fig. 12 which is displayed in terminal "202" of Fig. 1) of one or more automatic call distributors (ACDs) such as SI-I – Sn-n in a network of ACD, the method comprising:

automatically retrieving traffic load information (see Fig 12) from an ACD in real-time, wherein the traffic load information includes data for multiple trunk groups (see Fig. 12, TB/NT column) connected to the ACD (see Abstract and col. 1, In 65 – col. 2, In 22);

generating graphical representations of respective traffic loads for the multiple trunk groups, based on the traffic load information from the ACD (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29); and

presenting the graphical representations of respective traffic loads for the multiple trunk groups in a graphical user interface (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29).

As cited above, Costello teaches the traffic load information is automatically retrieved in real-time instead of on a periodic basic. However, Charalambous teaches the traffic load information is automatically retrieved in real-time instead of on a periodic basic (see Abstract and col. 8, lns 49 – 65). Therefore, integrating Charalambous's teachings into the ACD management information system of Costello would have been obvious for effectively managing the ACDs' activities.

Regarding claim 20, Costello further teaches the operation of automatically retrieving traffic load information from an ACD comprises: automatically retrieving traffic load information for an inbound trunk group connected to the ACD; and automatically retrieving traffic load information for an outbound trunk group connected to the ACD (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, ln 23 - col. 11, ln 56).

Regarding claim 21, Costello further teaches the operation of automatically retrieving traffic load information from art ACD comprises: automatically retrieving traffic load information for an inter-machine trunk (IMT) that interconnects the ACD with a second ACD (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, In 23 - col. 11, In 56).

Regarding claim 22, Costello further teaches the operation of presenting the graphical representations in a graphical user interface comprises: presenting a graphical representation of traffic load for the inbound trunk group in the graphical user interface; presenting a graphical representation of traffic load for the outbound trunk

group in the graphical user interface; and presenting a graphical representation of traffic load for the IMT in the graphical user interface (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, ln 23 - col. 11, ln 56).

Regarding claim 23, Costello further teaches:

the operation of generating graphical representations of respective traffic loads comprises generating multiple bar graphs to depict respective traffic loads for the multiple trunk groups, based on the traffic load information from the ACD (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29); and

the operation of presenting the graphical representations in a graphical user interface comprises presenting the multiple bar graphs in the graphical user interface (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29).

Regarding claim 29, Costello further teaches the step of displaying information pertaining to a call center in the graphical user interface, wherein the information pertaining to the call center comprises agent staffing levels and call answering time would be obvious since it is well known in the art (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, In 23 - col. 11, In 56).

Regarding claim 31, Costello further teaches the presenting a graphical representation of a traffic load for an interactive voice response (IVR) unit in the graphical user interface. (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, In 65 – col. 6, In 29).

Regarding claim 32, Costello further teaches the presenting data pertaining to an expansion port network (EPN) on a display device (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29).

Page 6

As to claim 33, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 18 above, since claim 33 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 18.

As to claim 35, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 21 above, since claim 35 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 21.

As to claim 36, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 20-21 above, since claim 36 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claims 20-21.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 17 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 19, 24-28, 30, 34 and 37-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 5153909

U.S. Pat. No. 5590188

Application/Control Number: 09/532,208

Art Unit: 2642

Page 7

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or for informal or draft communications not intended for

entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4700.

Paper Number: 14

Bing Q. Bui

Primary Examiner

him 2. him